SCIENTIFIC NOTES

# FABRICATION OF SUITABLE LOW COST BAMBOO MOUNTAGES FOR ERI SILKWORM, SAMIA RICINI DONOVAN

## Yumnam Debaraj<sup>\*</sup>, N. Ibotombi Singh<sup>\*\*</sup>, M. C. Sarmah<sup>\*\*</sup> and Ravindra Singh<sup>\*</sup>

\* Regional Sericultural Research Station, Jorhat-785005, INDIA. E-mail: yumnamdebaraj@yahoo.com

\*\* Central Muga Eri Research and Training Institute, Lahdoigarh, Jorhat-785700, INDIA.

**[Deberaj, Y., Singh, N. I., Sarmah, M. C. & Singh, R.** 2012. Fabrication of suitable low cost bamboo mountages for Eri Silkworm, *Samia ricini* Donovan. Munis Entomology & Zoology, 7 (1): 646-649]

Spinning is the last stage of rearing. It requires suitable mounting device to get good quality cocoon for reeling. This is the most important step in silkworm rearing wherein the mature worms will be mounted on proper cocooning device. If care is not taken in this stage, the quality as well as quantity of the cocoon may get affected adversely. In north eastern India, most of the farmers used bundle of dried leaves called 'JALI' for cocooning in ericulture as a common practice since long back. Till recently, a few farmers have started using bamboo *Chandrike* as mountage just like in mulberry silkworm. Many workers have studied the different types of mountages in mulberry silkworm (Geetha Devi et al., 1990; Singh et al., 1994, 1998; Himantharaj, 1995; Singh, 1995; Rajan et al., 2000; Kumaresan et al., 2007) and muga silkworm (Barah & Samson, 1990; Sahu et al., 1998) in different parts of the country. However, in ericulture no detail work has been undertaken for fabrication or performance of mounting devices except the preliminary studies of Debaraj & Brahma (2003); Patil & Savanurmath (1994). Considering the availability of huge potential of bamboo in north eastern India, bamboo can be used as a good raw material for fabrication of low cost mounting devices for eri silkworm. Therefore an attempt was made to fabricate low cost bamboo mountages for eri silkworm in the present study. The data on the comparative performance of the bamboo mountages with other devices are discussed and presented in this write-up.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment on comparative performance of different cocoon mountages was conducted in five seasons with four treatments including control at Regional Eri Research Station, Mendipathar, Meghalaya. The eri silkworm, Borduar race was reared following standard rearing method (Tray rearing). After completion of rearing, the matured worms were mounted in different mountages @ 50 worms per square feet. Cocoons were harvested after complete pupation. Two new devices of cocoon mountage were fabricated, which are made up of bamboo strips. The first device, BSM-1 is made up of bamboo strips comprising 15 numbers (85 x 3 cm) fixed in parallel on two bamboo strips (60 x 4 cm) making grooves in both ends and tied with binding wire. The distance between two strips is 3 cm. A bamboo sieve fixed on one side supports the whole structure. This type of mountage is single layered and ideal size of each device is  $85 \times 60 \times 4$  cm. The second device, BSM-2 is also made up of bamboo strips comprising 12 numbers (80 x 2.5 cm) fixed in parallel on two bamboo strips or wooden reapers (60 x 3 cm) in both ends tied with nails or binding wire. The distance between two strips is 2 cm. Such complete set of bamboo strip frames are placed one above the other up to 5 frames to make it a multiple layered mountage. The ideal size of each device is 80 x 60 x 22 cm. The third treatment is the traditional method of cocoon mountage called 'JALI', which is made up of bundle of dried leaves of mango, jack fruit, sal, etc. The performance of these devices was compared with bamboo *Chandrike* (1 m dia) as control. The mean data on the comparative performance of different cocoon mountages were collected and analyzed considering all the cocoon characters like good cocoon percentage, inferior cocoon shell weight, cocoon shell percentage, space occupied by each mountage, harvesting time, durability, etc.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Results indicated that good cocoon recovery percentage was recorded maximum in BSM-1 (95.62%) followed by BSM-2 (94.72%) and minimum in Jali (92.66%). Inferior cocoon percentage was maximum (6.93%) in Jali followed by Chandrike (5.61%) and the same was minimum in BSM-2 (4.56%). The cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight and cocoon shell percentage were recorded maximum in BSM-1 (Table 1). The percentage of cocooning and pupation were also higher in newly fabricated bamboo mountages (BSM-1 & BSM-2) than Jali and Chandrike (Table 2). These bamboo mountages occupied less space compared to Jali and Chandrike. The harvesting time of each mountage was calculated and found that the new bamboo mountages took less time for harvesting (7-10 min) whereas the Jali took more time (18-23 min). In mulberry silkworm, the rotatory mountage was found significantly superior than others in all the economic characters (Singh et al., 1998). Similarly, the rotary mountage was found more advantageous and profitable than plastic collapsible mountage and traditional bamboo mountage in mulberry silkworm (Kumaresan et al., 2007; Rajan et al., 2000). In muga silkworm, the box type mountage was recommended for cocooning considering the overall better performance than chandrike and jali (Sahu et al., 1998). In the present finding, the new bamboo mountages may be recommended for large scale utilization in eri silkworm rearing by the farmers considering the better performance compared to other mountages.

Advantages of bamboo strip mountages:

- Cocoons are uniform in size and shape, harvesting is easy as cocoons are made in parallel/ horizontally. Harvesting time is comparatively less in both the bamboo strip mountages.
- Good cocoon recovery and pupation percentages are more. Less number of defective cocoons.
- In an area of 5.32 sq. ft. up to 295 worms can be accommodated in the case of bamboo strip mountage No.1 (BSM-1) (single layered), whereas bamboo strip mountage No. 2 (BSM-2) (multiple layered) can accommodate up to 290 worms in 5.66 sq. ft.
- The mountages are more durable and can last up to 7-8 years (3-4 crops per year).
- Any farmer can prepare themselves with their own material, as bamboo is commonly available in every houses of north eastern India and easy to

647

handle, cost-effective. The costs of these mountages are more than 50 % less than the chandrike.

• It occupies less space as compared to jali and chandrikes which occupy more space both in use and storing.

### LITERATURE CITED

Barah, A. & Samson, M. V. 1990. Effect of various mountages on the cocooning of the muga silkworm, *Antheraea assama* Westwood. Sericologia, 30 (3): 313-321.

Debaraj, Y. & Brahma, K. C. 2003. Improvement of eri silkworm crop production. *Annual Report*, Central Muga Eri Research & Training Institute, Jorhat, p. 70.

Geetha Devi, R. G., Himantharaj, M. T., Vindhya, G. S. & Mathur, V. B. 1990. Can plastic collapsible mountages replace the bamboo mountage? Indian silk, 10 : 26-28.

Himantharaj, M. T., Meenal, A., Rajan, R. K., Muroga, A. & Kamble, C. K. 1995. Rotary mountage for quality cocoons. Indian silk, 34 (2): 25-27.

Kumaresan, P., Hiriyanna, Y. K., Balakrishnappa, Y. K. & Geethadevi, R. G. 2007. Performance of different types of mountages in Tumkur district of Karnataka – an economic analysis. Indian J. Seric., 46 (2): 169-172.

Patil, G. M. & Savanurmath, C. J. 1994. Eri silkworm – The Poor Man's Friend. Indian Silk, 33 (4): 41-45.

Rajan, R. K., Himantharaj, M. T. & Singh, G. B. 2000 Rotary mountage and its advantages. Indian silk, 39 (4): 4-6.

Sahu, A. K., Singha, B. B., Rajkhowa, G. & Das, P. K. 1998. Improvement in muga silkworm seed technology: The box type mountage, a new model for cocooning of muga silkworm *Antheraea assama* Westwood. Sericologia, 38 (2): 331-341.

Singh, G. B. 1995. Silkworm mountages. Indian silk, (May issue), p. 13-16.

Singh, G. P., Himantharaj, M. T., Kamble, C. K. & Datta, R. K. 1998. Impact of different types of mountages on cocoon and reeling parameters in silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Sericologia, 38 (2): 321-325.

Singh, G. B., Rajan, R. K., Inokuchi, T., Himantharaj, M. T., Meenal, A. & Datta, R. K. 1994. Studies on the use of plastic bottle brush mountages for silkworm mounting and its effect on cocoon characters and reelability. Indian J. Seric., 33 (1): 95-97.

Table 1. Comparative performance of different cocoon mountages of eri silkworm. (Mean±SD)

Mountages	Good Cocoon	Inferior	Cocoon weight	Cocoon	Cocoon shell
	(%)	Cocoon (%)	(g)	shell weight	percentage
				(g)	
BSM-1	95.62±5.12	5.04±4.64	$3.35 \pm 0.56$	0.44±0.08	13.29±0.79
BSM-2	94.72±3.92	4.56±3.50	$3.28 \pm 0.56$	0.42±0.09	12.70±1.13
JALI	92.66±4.43	6.93±3.91	3.19±0.79	0.41±0.12	12.85±0.93
Chandrike	93.87±4.03	5.61±3.18	3.21±0.68	$0.40 \pm 0.11$	12.35±0.94

Table 2. Performance of different types of mountages of eri silkworm.

Mounta	Cocooning	Pupation	Space occupied by each	Harvesting time
	(%)	(%)	mountage (sq. ft.)	(min)
BSM-	98.32	97.54	5.32	7 to 9
BSM-	96.76	96.23	5.66	8 to 10
JALI	93.58	91.35	6.25	18 to 23
Chandr	94.57	95.14	9.00	10 to 13

648



1 2 3 Figures 1-3. 1) Bamboo strip mountage (large size), 2) Bamboo strip mountage (normal size), 3) Bamboo chandrike mountage.



Figures 4-5. 4) Jali mountage (bundle of dry leaves), 5) Jali mountage (dry banana leaves).



**6** 7 Figures 6-7. 6) Eri cocoons (white & brick red colour), 7) A heap of eri cocoons.

649