SELECTION OF POLYVOLTINE BREEDS AND POLYVOLTINE × BIVOLTINE HYBRIDS OF THE SILKWORM, BOMBYX MORI L.

Ravindra Singh* and D. Gangopadhyay**

*Silkworm Seed Technology Laboratory, Kodathi, Bangalore - 560 035, Karnataka, INDIA. **National Institute of Science Technology & Development Studies, New Delhi - 110012, INDIA.

[Singh, R. & Gangopadhyay, D. 2013. Selection of polyvoltine breeds and polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids of the Silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Munis Entomology & Zoology, 8 (2): 578-581]

ABSTRACT: Identification of promising silkworm breeds and polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids was carried out utilizing three statistical tools *viz.*, multiple traits evaluation indices, combining ability and hybrid vigour. Out of four polyvoltine silkworm breeds, DNP₁ was found promising based on its performance and multiple traits evaluation indices exhibiting maximum values for seven characters viz., pupation rate, cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by weight, cocoon shell weight, cocoon shell %, filament length, raw silk percentage and neatness followed by DNP₃ which exhibited higher values for three characters viz., fecundity, cocoon weight and reelability. DNP₁ exhibited maximum general combining ability (GCA) effects for five characters viz., pupation rate, cocoon shell weight, cocoon shell %, raw silk % and neatness. Out of sixteen polyvoltine \times bivoltine hybrids, DNP₁ \times CSR₂ recorded maximum values for four characters viz., cocoon shell weight, cocoon shell %, raw silk % and neatness analyzed through their rearing performance and evaluation indices followed by $DNP_3 \times CSR_{17}$ which exhibited maximum values for three characters namely, cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by weight, cocoon weight and reelability. $DNP_4 \times CSR_4$ expressed maximum specific combining ability (SCA) effects for three characters namely, cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by weight, reelability and raw silk % whereas $DNP_2 \times CSR_4$ manifested higher hybrid vigour for three characters viz., pupation rate, reelability and neatness.

KEY WORDS: *Bombyx mori*, hybrid vigour, combining ability, multiple traits evaluation indices, rearing performance, polyvoltine silkworm breeds / hybrids.

Cumulative effects of several characters have been employed in silkworm breeding for the identification of promising silkworm breeds as well as hybrids (Narayanaswamy et al., 2002). Several attempts have been made to select silkworm breeds / hybrids on the basis of multiple traits evaluation indices (Vidyunmala et al., 1998; Ramesh Babu et al., 2002; Kariappa & Rajan, 2005; Gangopadhyay et al., 2006; Choudhary & Singh, 2006a; Rao et al., 2006; Nirupama et al., 2008a,b) and through analysis of combining ability and hybrid vigour (Datta et al., 2001; Choudhary & Singh, 2006b; Ravindra Singh et al., 2000, 2001, 2010). Of late, polyvoltine silkworm breeds and polyvoltine \times bivoltine hybrids (Singh & Nirupama, 2012) and bivoltine silkworm breeds and hybrids (Singh & Gangopadhyay, 2013) have been short listed through various statistical tools. The present study has been undertaken to identify promising polyvoltine breeds and polyvoltine \times bivoltine hybrids based on their rearing performance as well as through different statistical methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four polyvoltine silkworm breeds namely, DNP₁, DNP₂, DNP₃ and DNP₄ and sixteen polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids were utilized in the present study. Rearing

of both silkworm breeds along with hybrids was conducted with three replications and 300 larvae were retained in each replication after III moult. Data were collected for eleven economic characters namely, fecundity, hatching %, pupation rate, yield/10,000 larvae by weight, cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, cocoon shell percentage, filament length, reelability, raw silk percentage and neatness and analyzed through different statistical methods like multiple traits evaluation indices method of Mano et al. (1993), analysis of combining ability method of Kempthorne (1957) and hybrid vigour.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Maximum rearing performance, evaluation index, GCA of parents, SCA of polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids and hybrid vigour values pertaining to 11 economic characters have been given in Table 1. Among the parental silkworm breeds, DNP_1 was adjudicated as the best parent showing maximum values for seven economic characters namely, pupation rate, cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by weight, cocoon shell weight, cocoon shell percentage, filament length, raw silk and neatness followed by DNP_3 which revealed maximum values for three characters namely; fecundity, cocoon weight and reelability based on average performance and evaluation index values. Maximum general combining ability (GCA) effects were found in DNP_1 for five characters *viz.*, pupation rate, cocoon shell weight, cocoon shell %, raw silk and neatness.

Among sixteen polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids, $DNP_1 \times CSR_2$ was found promising by exhibiting maximum values and evaluation indices for four characters namely, cocoon shell weight (0.402g and 63.30), cocoon shell percentage (20.62 and 65.52), raw silk (15.99 % and 72.43) and neatness (91 p and 65.18) followed by $DNP_3 \times CSR_{17}$ which exhibited maximum values and evaluation indices for three characters *viz.*, cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by weight (19.920 kg and 69.50), cocoon weight (2.135 g and 71.40) and reelability (85.5 % and 63.04). Maximum specific combining ability (SCA) effects were expressed in $DNP_4 \times CSR_4$ for three characters *viz.*, cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by weight, reelability and raw silk followed by $DNP_2 \times NB_4D_2$ and $DNP_3 \times CSR_{17}$ exhibiting SCA effects for two characters *viz.*, pupation rate (14.16), reelability (9.64) and neatness (2.46) followed by $DNP_4 \times CSR_4$ for two characters cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by weight (51.78) and cocoon shell percentage (17.39).

Efforts have been made to select silkworm breeds and hybrids through multiple traits evaluation index method (Ramesh Babu et al., 2002; Gangopadhyay et al., 2006; Choudhary & Singh, 2006a; Rao et al., 2006; Lakshmi & Chandrashekharaiah, 2007; Nirupama et al., 2008a,b) and through analysis of combining ability and hybrid vigour (Datta et al., 2001; Choudhary & Singh, 2006b; Singh et al., 2000, 2001, 2010). Singh & Nirupama (2012) have selected promising polyvoltine breeds and polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids on the basis of rearing performance, combining ability and hybrid vigour. Further, Singh & Gangopadhyay (2013) have identified bivoltine breeds and bivoltine hybrids based on rearing performance, combining ability and hybrid vigour. Results of the present study revealed that the identified polyvoltine breed DNP₁ may be further utilized in future breeding programmes for the development of outstanding polyvoltine silkworm breeds. The identified polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrid DNP₁× CSR₂ may be exploited on large scale for commercial exploitation.

579

580

LITERATURE CITED

Datta, R. K., Rao, D. R. Jayaswal, K. P., Premalatha, V., Singh, R. & Kariappa, B. K. 2001. Heterosis in relation to combining ability in multivoltine and bivoltine strains of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Indian J. Seric., 40 (1): 1-6.

Gangopadhyay, D., Singh, R. & Rao, D. R. 2006. Selection of silkworm breeds/ hybrids based on multiple traits indices and cocoon size variability. Indian J. Seric., 5 (2): 181-184.

Kariappa, B. K. & Rajan, R. K. 2005. Selection of potential multivoltine parents of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. through multiple traits evaluation index method. J. Exp. Zool. India, 8 (2): 261-268.

Kempthorne, O. 1957. An introduction to genetics Statistics, John Willy and Sons, Inc. New York, Inc. London, Chapman and Hall Ltd. Pp. 208-341.

Lakshmi, H. & Chandrashekharaiah 2007. Identification of breeding resource material for the development of thermo-tolerant breeds of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. J. Exp. Zool.India, 10: 55-63.

Mano, Y., Nirmal Kumar, S., Basavaraja, H. K., Mal Reddy, N. & Datta, R. K. 1993. A new method to select promising silkworm breeds/combinations. Indian Silk, 31: 53.

Narayanaswamy, T. K., Govindan, R. & Ananthanarayana, S. R. 2002. Selection of multivoltine × bivoltine cross breeds of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. through evaluation indices. Indian J. Seric., 41: 176-178.

Choudhary, N. & Singh, R. 2006a. Identification of bivoltine and polyvoltine parents of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. through multiple traits evaluation index method. J. Exp. Zool .India, 9 (1): 27-32.

Choudhary, N. & Singh, R. 2006b. Heterosis in relation to combining ability in hybrids between multivoltine and bivoltine breeds of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L.. Uttar Pradesh J. Zool., 26 (1): 23-28.

Nirupama, R., Singh, R. & Gangopadhyay, D. 2008a. Identification of promising multivoltine × bivoltine hybrids of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L.. Entomon, 33 (2): 147-150.

Nirupama, R., Singh, R. & Kamble, C. K. 2008b. Identification of silkworm breeds and hybrids through evaluation indices and cocoon size variation. Indian J. Seric., 47 (2): 48-52.

Ramesh Babu, M., Chandrashekharaiah, Lakshmi, H. & Prasad, J. 2002. Multiple traits evaluation of bivoltine hybrids of the silkwotm, *Bombyx mori* L. Internat. J. Indust. Entomol., 5: 37-44.

Rao, C. G. P., Seshagiri, S. V., Ramesh, C. Basha, K., Ibrahim, H., Nagaraju, H. & Chandrashekhariah 2006. Evaluation of genetic potential of the polyvoltine silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) germplasm and identification of breeding programme. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci., 7: 215-220.

Singh, R. & Gangopadhyay, D. 2013. Identification of bivoltine breeds and hybrids of the mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L.. Mun. Ent. Zool., 8 (1): 203-207.

Singh, R., Goel, R., Rao, D. R., Premalatha, V., Kariappa B. K., Jayaswal, K. P. & Datta, R. K. 2001. Evaluation of combining ability in hybrids between low, medium and high cocoon weight polyvoltine and bivoltine breeds of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Sericologia, 41 (2): 57-64.

Singh, R., Kalpana, G. V., Rao, P. S., Ahsan, M. M., Datta, R. K. & Rekha, M. 2000. Studies on combining ability and heterosis in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Indian J. Seric., 39 (1): 43-48.

Singh, R. & Nirupama, R. 2012. Short listing of breeds and hybrids of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L.. Mun. Ent. Zool., 7 (2): 978-982.

Singh, R., Nirupama, R., Das, R. & Bajpai, A. K. 2010. Heterosis and combining ability in newly developed polyvoltine and bivoltine breeds of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L.. J. Assam Soc., 51 (2): 98-104.

Vidyunmala, S., Narsimha Murthy, B. & Sivarami Reddy, M. 1998. Evaluation of new mulberry silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) hybrids (multivoltine × bivoltine) through multiple trait evaluation index. J. Entomol. Res., 22 (1): 49-53.



Plate I. 1- Larvae of DNP₁, 2- Cocoons of DNP₁, 3- Larvae of DNP₁ × CSR₂ and 4- Cocoons of DNP₁ × CSR₂.

Table 1. Short-listing of polyvoltine $% X_{\rm s}$ breads and polyvoltine \times bivoltine hybrids based on various statistical measures.

	Polyvoltine breeds			Polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids			
Character	Based on average performance	Based on Evaluation Index values	Based on Genetal Combining Ability (GCA)	Based on average performance	Based on Evaluation Index values	Based on Specific Combining Ability (SCA)	Based on Hybrid Vigour
Fecundity (no)	DNP3 (484)	DNP3 (62.39)	DNP ₂ (53.13)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{DNP}_2 \times \text{CSR}_2 \mbox{ (566)} \\ \text{DNP}_2 \times \text{CSR}_{17} \mbox{ (551)} \end{array}$	$DNP_2 \times CSR_2$ (67.65) $DNP_2 \times CSR_{17}$ (64.59)	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_{3} \times CSR_{17} (50.29) \\ DNP_{4} \ \times CSR_{2} (39.71) \end{array}$	$DNP_2 \times CSR_2$ (15.16)
Hatching (%)	DNP4 (91.85)	DNP4 (55.88)	DNP ₂ (1.77)	DNP ₄ × CSR ₂ (97.42)	DNP ₄ × CSR ₂ (70.33)	-	$DNP_4 \times CSR_2$ (4.26)
Pupation rate	DNP1 (92.56)	DNP1 (59.15)	DNP1 (1.89)	$DNP_4 \times NB_4 D_2$ (98.20) $DNP_1 \times NB_4 D_2$ (97.80)	$DNP_4 \times NB_4 D_2$ (62.10) $DNP_1 \times NB_4 D_2$ (60.95)	$DNP_4 \times NB_4 D_2 (3.64)$ $DNP_2 \times CSR_4 (2.91)$	$DNP_2 \times CSR_4$ (14.16) $DNP_4 \times NB_4D_2$ (14.05)
Cocoon yield/10,000 larvae by wt (kg)	DNP1 (12.52)	DNP ₁ (58.07)	DNP3 (1.13)	$\begin{array}{l} {\rm DNP_3 \times CSR_{17}\ (19.920)} \\ {\rm DNP_1 \times CSR_{17}\ (19.360)} \end{array}$	$DNP_3 \times CSR_{17}$ (69.50) $DNP_1 \times CSR_{17}$ (64.78)	$DNP_4 \times CSR_4$ (0.73) $DNP_2 \times NB_4 D_2$ (0.55)	$DNP_4 \times CSR_4$ (51.78) $DNP_2 \times CSR_4$ (46.18)
Cocoon weight (g)	DNP3 (1.368)	DNP3 (58.51)	DNP3 (0.09)	$DNP_3 \times CSR_{17} (2.135)$ $DNP_2 \times CSR_2 (2.059)$	$DNP_3 \times CSR_{17}$ (71.40) $DNP_2 \times CSR_2$ (64.08)	$DNP_3 \times CSR_{17} (0.09)$ -	$\begin{array}{l} \text{DNP}_3 \times \text{CSR}_{17} \mbox{ (42.01)} \\ \text{DNP}_2 \times \text{NB}_4 \mbox{ D}_2 \mbox{ (38.61)} \end{array}$
Cocoon shell weight (g)	DNP1 (0.225)	DNP1 (59.67)	DNP1 (0.010)	$DNP_1 \times CSR_2 (0.402)$ $DNP_1 \times CSR_{17} (0.396)$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{DNP}_1 \times \text{CSR}_2 \mbox{ (63.30)} \\ \text{DNP}_1 \times \text{CSR}_{17} \mbox{ (60.44)} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} DNP_2 \times NB_4 \ D_2 \ (0.024) \\ DNP_4 \times CSR_4 \ (0.022) \end{array} $	$DNP_2 \times NB_4 D_2 (51.16)$ $DNP_4 \times CSR_4 (48.75)$
Cocoon shell %	DNP1 (16.71)	DNP1 (64.67)	DNP1 (0.67)	$DNP_1 \times CSR_2$ (20.62) $DNP_1 \times CSR_{17}$ (20.45)	$DNP_1 \times CSR_2$ (65.52) $DNP_1 \times CSR_{17}$ (63.39)	$ \begin{array}{l} DNP_2 \times NB4 \ D_2 \ (0.68) \\ DNP_1 \times CSR_{17} \ (0.62) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_4 \times CSR_4 \hspace{0.2cm} (17.39) \\ DNP_4 \times CSR_2 \hspace{0.2cm} (14.92) \end{array}$
Filament length (m)	DNP1 (573)	DNP1 (64.67)	DNP2 (53.65)	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_{2} \times CSR_{17} \ (998) \\ DNP_{2} \times NB_{4} \ D_{2} \ (933) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_{2} \times CSR_{17} \ (73.24) \\ DNP_{2} \times NB_{4} \ D_{2} \ (60.99) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_{3} \times CSR_{2} \ (43.69) \\ DNP_{2} \times CSR_{17} \ (34.44) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_{2} \times CSR_{17} (47.28) \\ DNP_{2} \times NB_{4} D_{2} (46.66) \end{array}$
Reelability (%)	DNP3 (83.2)	DNP3 (62.67)	DNP ₂ (1.57)	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_3 \times CSR_{17} \ (85.5) \\ DNP_2 \times CSR_4 \ \ (84.2) \end{array}$	$DNP_3 \times CSR_{17}$ (63.04) $DNP_2 \times CSR_4$ (58.86)	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_4 \ \times \ CSR_4 \ (3.62) \\ DNP_1 \ \times \ CSR_{17} \ (3.16) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_2 \times CSR_4 \hspace{0.1cm} (9.64) \\ DNP_2 \times NB_4 \hspace{0.1cm} D_2 \hspace{0.1cm} (8.52) \end{array}$
Raw silk %	DNP1 (12.38)	DNP1 (58.79)	DNP1 (0.58)	$ \begin{array}{l} DNP_{1}\times \mbox{CSR}_{2}\ (15.99) \\ DNP_{2}\times \mbox{NB}_{4}\ D_{2}\ (15.48) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_1 \times CSR_2 \ (72.43) \\ DNP_2 \times NB_4 \ D_2 \ (65.15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} DNP_4 \ \times \ CSR_4 \ (0.71) \\ DNP_1 \ \times \ CSR_2 \ (0.59) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} {\sf DNP_{1^{\times}}\ CSR_{2}\ (14.81)} \\ {\sf DNP_{2^{\times}}\ NB_{4}\ D_{2}\ (14.32)} \end{array}$
Neatness	DNP ₁ (89)	DNP1 (55.00)	DNP1 (0.46)	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{DNP}_1 \times \text{CSR}_2 \mbox{ (91)} \\ \text{DNP}_1 \times \text{NB}_4 \mbox{ D}_2 \mbox{ (91)} \end{array} $	$DNP_1 \times CSR_2$ (65.18) $DNP_1 \times NB_4 D_2$ (65.18)	$DNP_1 \times NB_4 D_2 (0.96)$	$DNP_2 \times CSR_4$ (2.46) $DNP_2 \times CSR_2$ (2.45)